

Working Together
The Community Safety Strategic Review

Action Plan and Budget
Implementing the Development Plan
2010/11 – 2012/13

Final version Feb 2010

Distribution

Name	Title	Date of Issue	Version

Table of Contents

1	Executive Summary	3
2	Background.....	4
3	Key Proposals in the Development Plan.....	4
4	Commissioning arrangements and financial proposals to support the Development Plan.....	5
5	The Safe and Strong Communities Budget for 2010/2011.....	9
6	SSCB Local Flexibilities Grant	10
7	The Future of the SSCB.....	11
8	Delivering the Key Proposals – Task and Review Groups.....	12
9	Partners	13
	Appendix 1 : Agreed Distribution formula and LFG allocation.....	14
	Appendix 2: Theme Task Groups.....	15
	Appendix 3 Risk Log	21

Final DRAFT

1 Executive Summary

1.1 In September 2009 the draft Development Plan for Community Safety, 'Working Together' was presented to the County Strategy Group (CSG). A number of amendments were made to the draft and further comments invited and received. It was agreed that a detailed Action Plan and Budget should also be prepared setting out how the proposals would be implemented and that both documents should be submitted for approval by the County Strategy Group on Crime and Disorder (CSG) at its December 09 meeting.

1.2 This is the detailed Action Plan and Budget statement agreed at the December 09 meeting. It sets out:

- actions and responsibilities required to implement the Development Plan, 'Working Together'
- the future direction of funding including the 2009/10 budget to support this
- the arrangements for implementing the Development Plan Year 1 reviews and identified further work and for undertaking the Year 2 reviews

The updated Development Plan "Working Together" is available at:

<http://www3.hants.gov.uk/education/dat/education-dat-csreview/education-dat-csprocess.htm>

Final Draft

2 Background

- 2.1 In 2008 the Hampshire County Strategy Group for Crime and Disorder (CSG) commissioned a Strategic Review across the whole community safety sector to understand how activity might be better coordinated to support the joint priorities and LAA priorities which relate to:
- Antisocial behaviour
 - Alcohol related violence
 - Domestic Abuse
 - Re-offending rates (Prolific and Priority Offenders)
 - Prevention of youth offending
 - Drug related crime
 - Public Confidence
- 2.2 The review also considered how all available resources can be used effectively and examined the potential to develop a joint commissioning and contracting process including the introduction of improved outcome monitoring. This will ensure that a range of important services are commissioned at the appropriate level with improved opportunities for partner and stakeholder input, monitoring and review .
- 2.3 The Development Plan, 'Working Together', set out a range of key proposals and identified a number of additional areas of work. It was agreed that a range of Theme Task groups be established to implement these proposals and undertake the identified further work, including the Year 2 reviews. These key proposals are set out in Section 3 below and details of the implementation arrangements can be found at Appendix 2.

3 Key Proposals in the Development Plan

The key proposals contained within the Development Plan "Working Together" are as follows:

- 3.1 Implement a fully integrated domestic abuse service which delivers equality of access across the County for accommodation, outreach and specialist advice for victims and schemes for perpetrators.
- 3.2 Support effective local approaches to tackling anti-social behaviour and confidence through measures such as the introduction of a countywide incident recording standard for anti-social behaviour including the capability to develop better cross authority working practices.
- 3.3 To improve public access to crime statistics to support public confidence and improve the availability and quality of data, analytical tools and services to partners to help in the identification and solution of local crime problems. To identify best practice and provide guidance on the provision of a quality

analytical service within a two arrangements enabling the provision of effective tasking and co-ordination and the development of structured combined partnership assessments in line with the Hallmarks of effective partnership working

- 3.4 Improve the effectiveness and consistency of Prolific and Priority Offender schemes to help reduce rates of re-offending for those causing the greatest harm on communities.
- 3.5 Implement an integrated drugs and alcohol criminal justice intervention service to improve the way that substance misuse related offending is tackled.
- 3.6 Improve the quality of a range of services by providing better information to partners on the effectiveness and quality of commissioned services.
- 3.7 Strengthen the governance by improving the links between district and county level decision making to ensure improved outcomes.

4 Commissioning arrangements and financial proposals to support the Development Plan

- 4.1 As part of the programme of thematic reviews, the County Strategy Group has adopted an approach to assessing whether activity is better jointly commissioned at county level or at district level; and identifying how other resources and the commissioning and delivery of wider programmes can be more effectively influenced to support community safety priorities. This approach will need to be applied to the outcome of the further scheduled reviews.
- 4.2 As part of the on-going partner conversation on the Development Plan the following points emerged specifically in relation to the application of the Safe and Strong Communities Budget (SSCB):

- 4.2.1 **Domestic abuse** - There is agreement on an additional investment from the SSCB towards the priority of domestic abuse. It is agreed that £237,600 of domestic abuse services be commissioned at the county level, much of which will be integrated with a wider revised £1.4m county 3 year contract which will ensure equality of access for accommodation, outreach, advice and perpetrator services as follows:

DA Coordinator	£32,000.00	£32,000
Domestic Abuse Perpetrator Programme	£11,000.00	£11,000
IDVA : 60 hours per week per OCU		£194,600
TOTAL		£237,600

This includes significant additional investment and additional leverage of resources but it is recognised there is more work to be reviewed in

this area, especially in relation to victim services and family/ children's issues and to influence wider mainstream services and resources. This is detailed in the brief for themed task group (See Appendix 2).

4.2.2 Prolific and Priority Offenders - There has been a considerable degree of support to ensure that the capacity linked to PPOs across the county is protected until such time as the new Integrated Offender Management (IOM) Programmes are established. Whilst it would be sensible to consolidate PPO arrangements to ensure consistency across the county, this will be superseded by the new IOM arrangements being overseen by the LCJB. In the meantime the funding and purchasing of PPO interventions operates on a piecemeal basis in many parts of the County with various degrees of consolidation between districts. Furthermore the Constabulary may not be able to sustain the one-off investment funding provided for PPOs for 2010/11 (in the region of £80,000). Since good progress is currently being made towards the County PPO LAA target, and in view of the new IOM arrangements being developed, it is agreed that a specific grant from the SSCB be made to CDRPs to underwrite all the existing CDRP and Police investment until such time as IOM is implemented.

4.2.3 A deadline for implementation of a county wide IOM approach has been proposed as 1st December 2010. The SSCB allocations for PPO will be fully allocated to IOM as from that date. The grants to CDRP for continuing existing PPO interventions will, therefore be allocated pro rata for the period 1st April 2010 to 30th November 2010. This means that from 1st April 2010, 8/12 of the annual allocation will be provided to CDRP solely for PPO interventions; from 1st December 2010 4/12 will be allocated to a joint IOM approach. The annual allocation will apply fully to IOM from 1st April 2011.

4.2.4 The PPO allocation for 2010/11 will amount to £219,500 and will include grants to district CDRPs totalling £147,066 subject to three conditions:

- This PPO Grant be ring-fenced to support the priority of PPO interventions.
- The Constabulary consider any opportunities for repeat investment in PPO schemes for 2010.
- Future partnership funding be through a single, or at least smaller number of consolidated IOM schemes led by an agreed accountable Lead Partner and with improved monitoring arrangements. In the meantime, it is expected that CDRPs will continue to operate consolidated arrangements.

This work will be taken forward by the theme task group working in conjunction with the LCJB.

From 1 Dec 2010, the remainder of the allocation will be directed towards a single IOM scheme (lead agency to be decided).

- 4.2.5 **Alcohol Co-ordinator** – This post has historically been joint funded by CDRPs. The existing contribution from the SSCB to the County Alcohol Co-ordinator post can now be reduced by £22,000, having secured a matching contribution from Adult Services. This post is recognised as critical in the National Alcohol Harm Reduction Strategy. The post-holder coordinates the work of the Alcohol Board and the implementation of the 10 point Alcohol Action Plan which in turn influences mainstream resources and projects supported by the NHS, Adult Services resources, Hampshire Constabulary, Probation and other partners. It is agreed therefore to make a continued contribution to this post from the SSCB of £22,000.
- 4.2.6 **Drug Intervention Programme (DIP)** – This programme has historically received additional joint funding from CDRPs, to increase capacity for drug misusing PPO clients, forming one of the agreed county wide supported programmes. The DIP aims to capture drug misusing offenders at all stages of the criminal justice system to divert them out of crime and into treatment. As such, this is an important component of a wider crime reduction programme, funded by the Home Office and supported by the DAAT, amounting to a total package for Hampshire of approximately £1.2m in 2010/11. It is agreed to continue to contribute £79,000 to the commissioning of the DIP programme from the SSCB. NB: The Constabulary has phased out its contribution of £80,000 in support the drug Arrest Referral element of DIP, ending March 2010.
- 4.2.7 The Hampshire DAAT will be commissioning a new drug treatment system during 2010. It is proposed that the existing DIP contract will complete on 30th November 2010, following which the Criminal Justice Integrated Teams (CJIT) will form part of the IOM approach. This element of the service receives Home Office funding in the region of £600,000 (exact allocation yet to be announced by the HO).
- 4.2.8 **Integrated Offender Management** – the outcomes from the DIP, PPO and Alcohol reviews each provided recommendations for an IOM approach in delivering offender interventions to reduce crime and reoffending. Home Office guidance was produced in June 2009 which supported this ‘umbrella’ approach to delivery of offender interventions. An IOM Task Group has been formed to bring together these three areas of work, with an ambitious implementation date of 1st December 2010; a set of combined objectives have now been produced. This group will also work closely with the LCJB IOM Steering Group. Funding issues are centred around existing programmes and future partner investment.
- 4.2.9 **Youth Inclusion Support Programme** - This programme has historically been joint funded by CDRPs. The programme provides early interventions to those at risk of entering the youth justice system. The programme is making a significant contribution to current strong performance on the LAA target to prevent youth offending. It is agreed to contribute £99,000 from the SSCB to the joint commissioning of the

YISP programme. This contribution forms part of a funding package in excess of £1m supported by the Youth Justice Board, Children's Services (£377,000) and other local partners.

- 4.2.10 **CADDIE** – This programme has historically been joint funded by CDRPs. It provides a nationally acclaimed information service to the public. A new product is being commissioned in December which will result in a significantly improved service to the public and a new crime reports and analysis tool for partners. It is agreed that the CSG continues to commission this service from the CADDIE Board at £37,000.
- 4.2.11 **Bobby Trust** - A detailed service review has been undertaken and it has been agreed by the CSG that the contribution from the SSCB to the Bobby Trust be reduced by £8,000 to £10,000 in 2010/11 (and phased out over the subsequent 2 years from any future SSCB if available).
- 4.2.12 **Strategic Review and other central support costs.** It is agreed that the existing jointly funded support assistant post continue to be funded by the SSCB along with a small budget for external costs as a contribution towards the on-going Strategic Review Process, totalling £35,000. The much larger cost of managing and coordinating the strategic review process and central commissioning processes is met by the County Council.
- 4.2.13 **Local Flexibilities Grant** – It is agreed that the remaining £688,300 (48% of the total SSCB) be allocated to District CDRPs as a “local flexibilities grant.” (see section 5).
- 4.2.14 **2009/10 carry forward** – The County Council has carried forward £100,000 from 2009/10 to supplement the 2010/11 budget..

5 The Safe and Strong Communities Budget for 2010/2011

5.1 The total SSCB for 2009/10 is £1,427,372. In 2009/10, 26% of the SSCB was allocated to activity jointly commissioned at county level and 67% was allocated to district CDRPs. 7% of the SSCB is to be carried forward to 2010/11.

5.2 The proposed budget for the next three years is therefore as follows:

Item	2010/11	% of SSCB
Safe and Strong Communities Budget (SSCB)	1,427,400	
Additional Domestic Abuse services	237,600	36.5%
Alcohol Coordinator	22,000	
CADDIE	37,000	
YISP	99,000	
Bobby Trust	10,000	
	35,000	
Strategic Review Process & Community Safety Support Assistant		
Drug Intervention Programme	79,000	
PPO Grant	219,500	15.5%
Local Flexibilities Grant to CDRPs	688,300	48.0%
TOTAL ALLOCATION	1,427,400	100%

5.3 There is also a £100,000 carry-forward from 2009/10. It was agreed that this be retained by the CSG for the following purposes:

a) to fund service development proposals which may arise from the work of the Task and Review Groups (see [Appendix 2](#)).

b) to enable a flexible response to performance issues highlighted by the Performance Sub-Group.

It was agreed that more specific criteria be drawn up and recommendations from the Performance and Commissioning Sub-Groups be considered by the CSG as and when appropriate. The budget is subject to confirmation by the County Council as the accountable body for the funds.

6 SSCB Local Flexibilities Grant

6.1 The “Local Flexibilities Grant” (LFG)” is to be used to fund activity to support priorities and LAA targets locally but not excluding other priorities in the local strategic assessment. It is intended to support activity which is best commissioned locally for example around local analysis and problem solving, tasking and co-ordinating activity, and initiatives aimed at addressing anti-social behaviour and improving public confidence. This will be made subject to three conditions.

- the grant be ring-fenced for community safety purposes and specifically for improvements against LAA priorities and targets and other priorities identified in local strategic assessments;
- districts are expected to have clear processes for agreeing spend within the partnership, for pursuing maximum leverage, and having regard to the voluntary sector procurement and commissioning code;
- districts will be required to provide information to the County Strategy Group and the County Council relating to the expenditure of this grant as defined by the Commissioning Sub-Group in order to ensure effective monitoring and to inform the on-going reviews and as the basis for future decisions by the CSG.

The LFG distribution will be based on a distribution formula agreed by the Commissioning sub-group on 9th October; i.e. that the distribution be based upon the crime rate per 1000 population and a population denominator calculation [Appendix 1](#).

6.2 It is implicit in the Development Plan “Working Together” that as theme reviews are concluded, the CSG considers how activity is best delivered and at what level commissioning should be conducted. The reviews provide an opportunity to understand better how much funding is being directed to specific activities and what is the leverage. Currently there is no stipulation around the allocation of the LFG but as the review process develops, the Partnership will need to be clear about its priorities for the use of limited resources and to ensure that efficiencies and effectiveness are maximised.

7 The Future of the SSCB

- 7.1 Partners recognise that the Safe and Strong Communities Budget is currently derived from the Area Based Grant. The ABG beyond 2010/11 is subject to the Comprehensive Spending Review. It is worth noting that in the light of this uncertainty, Hampshire County Council would be underwriting the 3 year domestic abuse contract described in Appendix 2 .
- 7.2 Partners recognise that SSCB funding is just one element of the total resources spent on community safety. For example the SRIP identifies in the region of £32m across the sector. It is vital to ensure that strong links are in place to ensure the maintenance of all other existing funding. The further work being undertaken by the Task and Review Groups will be operating in this context and the main objective will be to ensure that mainstream budgets are influenced to support community safety objectives and that existing funding is used to maximum effect.
- 7.3 One example of the value of wider links is the work being undertaken on the Customer Insight Project. This is funded through the HLOWLA Improvement Group and will offer benefits to all partners. The project is intended to overlay a multitude of survey and demographic data (including MOSAIC) to map the views of residents. This will then be compared with crime and economic data to enable the partnership to better understand the perceptions of residents, and to thereby work towards improving our performance on the national indicators such as NI 17 (perceptions of anti social behaviour) and NI 21 (Residents views of how the police and local council are dealing with crime and disorder issues). The pilot phase is concentrating on Hart and Havant, and is due to report in April 2010.
- 7.4 A further example of the contribution made by other programmes and partners is the Supporting People Programme (SP) which spends over £1.4 m of the total SP commissioning budget on domestic abuse services. This is additional to and separate from the funding provided through the SSCB. Similarly there are domestic abuse services funded by district councils and police.

8 Delivering the Key Proposals – Task and Review Groups

It is agreed that:

- 8.1 Implementing the proposals in the Development Plan will require commitment from all partners. A number of themed task groups will be led by specialists drawn from the partnership. These include drug related offending, domestic abuse, alcohol, and information. Further capacity is being provided by Community Safety Managers who are working with the County Council Partnership team. However, the extent of the implementation arrangements requires a new level of co-operation between the County and districts and other partners.
- 8.2 The 'task and review groups' will assume responsibility for a project area within the remits set out in [Appendix 2](#). They will be responsible for ensuring that each project remains on course to deliver the key proposals in the Development Plan 'Working Together'. It is proposed that these reviews be concluded for a final report to CSG in Sept 2010.
- 8.3 In addition to the task groups, a Community Safety Manager (CSM) will work with the County Supporting People team to ensure that SP contract specifications for Community Safety related services are consistent with CDRP needs and requirements. The intention is to extend this principle to other mainstream commissioned services. The CSM will also establish a performance reporting framework and timetable ensuring the CDRPs are given the opportunity to receive and respond to performance information.
- 8.4 At the outset of the review, a Strategic Review Information Pack [SRIP] containing a snapshot of baseline and target information was developed in consultation with all partners. This document is 'owned' by the Commissioning sub-group and it is intended that it will be refreshed annually to ensure it continues to be relevant, and is expanded and improved where appropriate. The intention is that it should be used as a reference document to inform decision making.

9 Partners

All responsible authority and cooperating partners represented on the CSG have agreed that this document describes accurately the scope of the budget and action plan and commission its implementation.

Any changes to the scope described above will be subject to agreement by the County Strategy Group on Crime and Disorder.

Hampshire County Council Deputy Leader

Basingstoke and Deane CDRP Chair

East Hants CDRP Chair

Eastleigh CDRP Chair

Fareham CDRP Chair

Gosport CDRP Chair

Hart CDRP Chair

Havant CDRP Chair

New Forest CDRP Chair

Rushmoor CDRP Chair

Test Valley CDRP Chair

Winchester CDRP Chair

Hampshire Constabulary

Hampshire Police Authority

Hampshire Fire and Rescue Authority

Hampshire Probation Service

NHS Hampshire

Wessex Youth Offending Team

Hampshire DAAT

Hampshire Voluntary Sector Consortium

Appendix 1 : Agreed Distribution formula and LFG allocation

CDRP	2009/10 allocation	Distribution denominator	2010/11 LFG
Basingstoke & Deane	99,167	0.1049	72,200
East Hants	78,252	0.0774	53,200
Eastleigh	92,141	0.0964	66,400
Fareham	83,342	0.0815	56,100
Gosport	85,379	0.1019	70,100
Hart	73,020	0.0641	44,100
Havant	99,537	0.113	77,800
New Forest	107,765	0.1018	70,100
Rushmoor	77,651	0.0909	62,600
Test Valley	78,935	0.0857	59,000
Winchester	77,651	0.0823	56,700
Total	£953,898.00	1	688,300

Appendix 2: Theme Task Groups

Task groups : Roles and responsibilities

At the start of the project, task groups will:

- Agree responsibilities and objectives
- Agree success criteria and ensure this is outlined in any project documentation
- Decide how to deal with other issues which may arise during the course of the work

As the project progresses, task groups will :

- Check the project is still on track to achieve the key proposals
- Review and approve any exception plans
- Update the Commissioning Sub-group

At the end of the project, task groups will :

- Check that everything has been delivered satisfactorily as per the success criteria
- Approve the end project report and present to the County Strategy Group on Crime and Disorder

Each task group will provide quarterly reports to Commissioning sub group.

1. Domestic abuse

OBJECTIVE	
Implement a fully integrated domestic abuse service which delivers equality of access across the County for accommodation, outreach and specialist advice for victims and schemes for perpetrators.	
Theme lead(s)	Jude Ruddock-Atcherley, Hampshire County Council

Action No	Domestic abuse Task group actions	Target date
1	In line with current national drivers develop a partnership county domestic abuse strategy	Sept 2010
2	Create linked strategic structure i.e. linked to CSG	
3	Working with CDRPs, Supporting People and wider partners agree a core service for each area ensuring equality of access	
4	Develop and implement a consistent and strategic approach to coordination of DA work across the county	
5	Commission a countywide IDVA services (i.e. 180 Hours to be delivered across all 3 OCU areas aligned with MARAC)	April 2010
6	Develop and implement an integrated service for survivors	
7	Ensure availability and delivery of perpetrator programmes across Hampshire	
8	Research the interplay between outreach workers and IDVAs	
9	Review operation of MARACs	
10	Consider best way to deliver Domestic abuse services – i.e. is Family Justice Centre suitable way forward ?	
11	Review the data collected for domestic abuse and implement positive reporting as well as negative	
12	Give guidelines and data to strategic assessment processes on other crimes covered by domestic abuse e.g. 'honour' violence/crime	
13	Review and evaluate performance of IDVA services	April 2011

2. Anti social behaviour

OBJECTIVE	
Support effective local approaches to tackling anti-social behaviour and confidence through measures such as the introduction of a countywide incident recording standard for anti-social behaviour and building in the capability to develop better cross authority working on shared priorities.	
Theme lead(s)	Phil Stoneman RBC Jacqueline Davies BDBC

Action No	ANTI SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR Task group actions	Target date
1	Countywide incident recording scheme to be developed and implemented	
2	Access to ASB reporting (equalities)	
3	Personalised information sharing protocol suitable for all agencies and depts. to be developed	
4	Consider how improved reporting can support the development of ASB strategies	
5	Research different approaches to tackling ASB in Hampshire and work out what achieves maximum benefit	
6	Review effectiveness of the tasking relationship between the enforcement agencies and the CDRPs	
7	Investigate the benefits and potential delivery of a specific ASB legal provision, accessible by all partners and partnerships	
8	Ensure effective mechanism in place to deliver improved coordination	

NOTE: For financial details see paragraph 4 above

3. Integrated Offender Management (IOM)

The following is a revised combined set of objectives from the reviews of the Drug Interventions Programme, Prolific and Priority Offenders and Alcohol Related Offending, together forming the IOM core objectives.

OBJECTIVE	
Implement an integrated drugs and alcohol criminal justice intervention service to improve the way that substance misuse related offending is tackled.	
Improve the effectiveness and consistency of Prolific and Priority Offender schemes to help reduce rates of re-offending for those causing the greatest impact on communities.	
Theme lead(s)	Chris Mitchell, Hampshire Probation John Winter, Hampshire County Council Mike Webb, Hampshire County Council

Action No	Integrated Offender Management (IOM) Task group actions	Target date
1	Develop specification for integrated alcohol and drug treatment provision including through-care, accessible across Hampshire, with clear care pathways for criminal justice clients and PPOs	Mar 2010
2	Develop and implement an integrated offender management model encompassing management of DIP + PPO + Alcohol related offending (primarily ATR and AR)	Phase 1. Dec 2010
3	Explore and develop links with other key areas of work, including ASB, Domestic Abuse (Perpetrators) and emerging areas of work within the LCJB Offender Management Sub-Group	Mar 2011
4	Develop improved access to non enforcement activity and services	Mar 2011
5	Develop a communications strategy to inform stakeholders both internally and externally concerning progress, services and desired outcomes	Jun 2010
6	Develop a training model to inform practitioners of service delivery regarding IOM	Oct 2010
7	Identify improvements to data collection methods and improved data collection from partners, including, the Home Office	Oct 2010
8	Develop and implement alcohol brief interventions in a range of settings for alcohol users across Hampshire including within A+E	tbc

9	Develop and implement a system for obtaining all alcohol related assault data from the A+E departments for use of analysts (supports NI 20)	tbc
10	Support CDRPs and licensing agencies to continue all local work related to tackling alcohol related ASB and crime	Ongoing
11	Support and enhance substance misuse education programmes for young people to be supported and enhanced in order to deliver the prevention agenda	tbc
12	Continue to seek additional funding for the proposed combined drug / alcohol service to enable it to work with alcohol criminal justice clients across the county	Ongoing
13	Identify and agree a common 'matrix' for selection and de-selection of PPOs	Apr 2010

4. Crime Analysis

OBJECTIVE	
To improve public access to crime statistics to support public confidence and improve the availability and quality of data, analytical tools and services to partners to support: - high quality strategic assessments, performance information and in the identification and solution of local crime problems eg through effective tasking and co-ordination	
Theme lead	Tim Pointer, HBC

Action No	CRIME ANALYSIS Task group actions	Target date
1	Develop consistent methodology and quality of district strategic assessments which adequately reflect community priorities, exploring the scope for combined geographic assessments.	Sept 2010
2	Develop data and information streams from partners to inform strategic assessments and wider analysis.	
3	Develop standards and delivery options for completing strategic assessments, performance information and problem analysis.	
4	Work with the CADDIE Board to ensure effective development of public crime information and partner performance analysis tools.	

5. Young People (Year 2 Review)

OBJECTIVE	
Undertake a strategic review of services appropriate for 13 to 18 year old on the cusp of the Criminal Justice System/ relating to Community Safety. The objectives are to undertake an analysis of existing provision, look at future requirements to be supported by Community Safety. (i.e. Actions that must be done, actions that could be done and actions which cannot or will not be undertaken by Community Safety).	
Theme lead(s)	Andy Chartres YOT Sam Charlton HBC

6. Victim Support – (Year 2 Review)

OBJECTIVE	
Victim Support will look at the way that victims of crime are supported after a crime has taken place. The focus will be on how Victim Support as a police funded agency links up to and works with other supporting agencies such as Adult Services and the Supporting People programme.	
Theme lead	Caroline Ryan Hart CDRP

7. Safeguarding (Year 2 Review)

OBJECTIVE	
To embed the Multi Agency Safeguarding Adults procedure in the Community Safety and Criminal Justice System	
Theme lead	Jane Duncan, Hampshire County Council

9. Public confidence (Year 2 Review)

OBJECTIVE	
The aim of this review will be to consider how best to improve public perceptions of how police and local councils work together to address anti-social behaviour and crime in Hampshire. This will support the delivery of NI 21 :Dealing with local concerns about anti-social behaviour and crime by the local council and police.	
Theme lead	CI Paul Pressley, Hampshire Constabulary Steph Bennett New Forest DC

NOTE: For financial details see Para 4 above

Appendix 3 Risk Log

Risk No	Summary of Risk	Probability	Impact	Rating	Containment
1	Availability of future funding [BCU, SSCB]	H	H	H	Review process will clarify the cost and effectiveness of activities to support case for mainstream funding by partners
2	Managing cross partner expectations	M	M	M	
3	Ability to maintain wider partner investment [sustainability]	M	H	H	
5	Continued availability of staff / team resources – to deliver the action plan	L	H	M	

Final DRAFT