

## Hampshire

---

At an Extraordinary Meeting of Hampshire County Council held at The Castle, Winchester on 13 October 2011.

### Chairman:

*p* Councillor Anna McNair Scott

### Vice-Chairman:

*p* Councillor Frederick Allgood, JP

Councillor:	Councillor:
<i>p</i> Mrs Charlotte Bailey	<i>p</i> Keith House
<i>p</i> Ian Beagley	<i>p</i> Ronald Hussey
<i>p</i> Ray Bolton	<i>p</i> Andrew Joy
<i>p</i> Alan Broadhurst	<i>p</i> David Keast
<i>p</i> John Bryant	<i>p</i> Mark Kemp-Gee
<i>p</i> Ann Buckley	<i>p</i> Mel Kendal
<i>p</i> Graham Burgess	<i>p</i> Roger Kimber
<i>p</i> Rita Burgess	<i>p</i> Tim Knight
<i>p</i> Adam Carew	<i>p</i> Rupert Kyrle
<i>p</i> Christopher Carter	<i>p</i> Carol Leversha
<i>a</i> Roz Chadd	<i>p</i> Keith Mans
<i>p</i> Keith Chapman	<i>p</i> Peter Kent Mason
<i>p</i> Peter Chegwyn	<i>p</i> Alexis McEvoy
<i>p</i> Vaughan Clarke	<i>p</i> Robin McIntosh
<i>p</i> Adrian Collett	<i>p</i> Pam Mutton
<i>p</i> Brian Collin	<i>a</i> Eric Neal
<i>p</i> Mark Cooper	<i>p</i> Frank Pearce
<i>p</i> Ferris Cowper	<i>p</i> Roy Perry
<i>p</i> Sam Darragh	<i>p</i> Jackie Porter
<i>p</i> Brian Dash	<i>p</i> Roger Price, JP
<i>p</i> Colin Davidovitz	<i>p</i> Jenny Radley
<i>p</i> Phryn Dickens	<i>p</i> Stephen Reid
<i>a</i> Alan Dowden	<i>p</i> Alan Rice, TD
<i>p</i> David Drew	<i>a</i> Steve Rippon-Swaine
<i>p</i> Peter Edgar	<i>p</i> Angela Roling
<i>p</i> Dr. Ray Ellis	<i>p</i> David Simpson
<i>a</i> Adrian Evans	<i>a</i> Elaine Still
<i>p</i> Keith Evans	<i>p</i> Bruce Tennent
<i>p</i> Liz Fairhurst	<i>p</i> Tom Thacker
<i>p</i> Jane Frankum	<i>p</i> Christopher Thomas
<i>p</i> Mike Geddes	<i>p</i> Ken Thornber, CBE
<i>p</i> Andrew Gibson	<i>p</i> Marilyn Tucker
<i>p</i> Jonathan Glen	<i>a</i> John Wall
<i>p</i> Brian Gurden	<i>p</i> Alan Weeks
<i>p</i> David Harrison	<i>p</i> John K. West
<i>p</i> Edward Heron	<i>p</i> Patricia West
<i>p</i> Felicity Hindson, MBE	<i>p</i> Sharyn Wheale
<i>p</i> Geoff Hockley	<i>a</i> Seán D. T. Woodward

**238. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE.**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Roz Chadd, Alan Dowden, Adrian Evans, Eric Neal, Steve Rippon-Swaine, Elaine Still, John Wall and Seán Woodward.

Apologies were also received from Honorary Aldermen Patricia Banks and Margaret Snaith-Tempia.

**239. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST.**

The Chairman referred Members to the recent advice of the Monitoring Officer and for completeness a copy of the advice letter had been circulated in the Chamber.

All Members who believed they had a personal or prejudicial interest in any matter to be considered at the meeting were asked to declare that interest and, having regard to the circumstances described in paragraphs 9, 10, 11 and 12 of the County Council's Code of Conduct, consider whether to leave the meeting whilst the matter was discussed, save for exercising any right to speak in accordance with paragraph 12 of the Code.

Exceptionally, time was taken at the commencement of the Meeting for the Chief Executive to record declarations of interest in respect of Item 5 (draft Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan). Members were reminded of the advice of the Monitoring Officer that all District Councillors, Members of the New Forest National Park Authority, Members of the South Downs National Park Authority, Parish Council Members, and any other body exercising functions of a public nature in the area in which a proposed site is situated may have a personal interest in the matter. Members were further reminded that where any Member has been specifically involved in the Consultation Exercise at the other body, this should also be declared. Declarations made are attached to these Minutes as Appendix 1.

**240. CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS.**

The Chairman welcomed Honorary Aldermen Ted Gale and Michael Woodhall to the meeting and also welcomed Councillor Ferris Cowper to his first meeting of the County Council following his election as County Councillor for the Headley Division.

The Chairman confirmed that on an exceptional basis she had given permission, in accordance with Standing Order 10, for the proceedings to be relayed via a live closed circuit feed into the Great Hall for the benefit of additional members of the public.

The Chairman confirmed that also on an exceptional basis, the Council had extended an invitation to other interested parties, such as Leaders of other Councils and Hampshire MPs, to speak at the meeting and had accepted requests from those other than Hampshire local government electors to make a deputation.

The Chairman reminded those making a deputation, that although this was a 'meeting held in public' it was not a 'public meeting' therefore anyone making a deputation was not permitted to participate in the debate on any matter on the agenda.

Finally, the Chairman reminded everyone of the decision of the Council at its meeting on 15 September 2011 to vary the usual order of proceedings to allow the Director of Environment, Transport and Economy to speak and to vary Standing Order 12 in respect of deputations, and had authorised the Chief Executive to finalise the arrangements for the receipt of deputations.

**241. DEPUTATIONS RELATING TO THE DRAFT HAMPSHIRE MINERALS AND WASTE PLAN.**

The Council received 20 deputations and for the avoidance of doubt, Councillors from other Councils were asked to confirm if they were making a deputation on behalf of their Council or as a private individual. The full list of deputations is appended to these Minutes as Appendix 2.

**242. DRAFT HAMPSHIRE MINERALS AND WASTE PLAN.**

The Council had agreed to vary the usual order of proceedings and the Chairman invited Stuart Jarvis, Director of Economy, Transport and Environment to address the Council to present additional contextual and technical information regarding the Cabinet's report on the draft Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan ("the draft Plan").

The Director firstly took the opportunity to confirm that a small number of typographical and grammatical oversights in the draft Plan would be reviewed prior to the Plan being progressed should Members decide to approve it. The Director referred to supplementary information that had been supplied to all Members of the Council and made available to observers of the meeting as follows:

Supplementary Paper A – Apportionment Table setting out the provision of sharp sand and gravel and soft sand by proposed site in the draft Plan. The Director reported that an incorrect version of the figures for Purple Haze had been included in the Cabinet report, however he confirmed that the correct version was before the Council and in the draft Plan as previously circulated.

Supplementary Paper B – Hampshire County Council’s detailed response to Adams Hendry’s review of the draft Plan on behalf of Eastleigh Borough Council, which had previously been circulated to all County Councillors by Eastleigh Borough Council. The Adams Hendry document concluded that the draft Plan was ‘unsound, incomplete, inaccurate and open to challenge’. The Director reported that the conclusions in the review document were strongly refuted and that the draft Plan was considered to be sound in both process and content to date.

Supplementary Paper C – summary of additional comments received since the drafting of the Cabinet Report and a response to key issues raised regarding sites proposed in the draft Plan, including 146 comments received by Members’ Services all of which concerned the Purple Haze site. The Director reported that no new planning issues were raised that had not been previously considered in the preparation of the draft Plan, and thus concluded that all had been properly addressed.

The Director drew the Council’s attention to the following points which had occurred since the Cabinet’s consideration of the draft Plan on 26 September 2011:

- i) On 28 September 2011 the County Council’s Regulatory Committee A had approved an application for an extension to the existing sand and gravel workings at Mortimer Quarry, which once the legal agreement is completed and the planning consent issued, will have the status of “permitted reserve” rather than “allocation”.
- ii) Joint partners of the draft Plan, the New Forest National Park Authority and Portsmouth City Council approved the draft Plan on 29 September and 11 October 2011 respectively. Other joint partners, South Downs National Park Authority and Southampton City Council were due to consider the draft Plan on 24 October and 25 October 2011 respectively.

The Director reminded the Council of the two primary reasons for preparing a Minerals and Waste Plan, namely that there is a statutory duty to do so as a Minerals and Waste Planning Authority (M&WPA), and that the draft Plan forms the Local Policy Framework for the management of Minerals and Waste development and operations in Hampshire. The Government’s current proposals to introduce a National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) puts even more emphasis on the need for M&WPAs to have robust and up to date local planning documents in place. The Director also confirmed that the draft Plan preparation process had involved the collation of a considerable technical evidence base and had identified and evaluated a full range of options. The consultation – Have Your Say – together with additional comments received had formed a significant part of the

process with 5,500 comments having been received and considered, of which 4,000 related to the proposed Purple Haze site and 1,000 related to the proposed Hamble Airfield site. The Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA), the Integrated Sustainability Assessment (ISA), and Appropriate Assessments (AA) had also been an integral part of the process. The draft Plan Strategy is aimed at protecting the environment; maintaining communities; supporting the economy and provision of an adequate supply of minerals. On this latter point, the Director highlighted the key issues as being the quantum of minerals required, distribution of sites and rate of extraction, and referred Members to Policy 17 (page 53 of the Draft Plan) regarding the duty placed on Hampshire by the Government to maintain minerals supply and contributing to a variety of sources of aggregate. The draft Plan therefore identifies 1million tonnes (mt) recycled aggregate, 2mt marine dredged, 1mt rail imported (limestone) and 1.56mt land won (0.28 soft sand) together with a requirement to have a seven-year land bank (permitted reserves) of 12.22mt (sharp sand and gravel) plus 2.22mt (soft sand) making a total of 14.44mt. A total of 30.03mt of minerals is required overall therefore just five new sites out of a possible 100 sites that were considered had been identified to supply the remaining requirement of 15.59mt, namely Purple Haze, Hamble Airfield, Cutty Brow, Roeshott and Forest Lodge Farm – Policy 20 on page 59 of the draft Plan refers. A residual shortfall over the lifetime of the draft Plan had been estimated at 3.12mt of sharp sand and gravel and an estimated surplus of 0.86mt of soft sand. The Director confirmed a reasonable, evidenced and robust approach to meet the requirements placed on Hampshire had been adopted in identifying the five new proposed sites.

The Director also highlighted that as a Waste Planning Authority, it was necessary to make adequate provision for all forms of waste not just municipal waste. An increase in recycling and recovery infrastructure was required if Hampshire is to achieve its desired reduction of waste that is sent to landfill. Preferred locations would be existing employment areas and brownfield sites and in this context, the waste framework proposed was permissive.

The Director confirmed that should the Council approve the draft Plan, it would be subject to a six-week Soundness Consultation and a Public Examination, conducted by an independent Planning Inspector, following which the Inspector would send a report of their findings to the County Council. The Plan would not be given full weight until it is formally adopted after the Public Examination.

In closing, the Director reminded Members that the key judgement for them was whether they were satisfied that the draft Plan represented a sound, appropriate and robust policy framework for the management of Minerals and Waste development and operations in Hampshire over the draft Plan period. The professional advice of the County Council's officers was that the plan preparation process had been sound and

appropriate, and that the policies contained within the draft Plan were properly in accordance with national guidance, or where they departed from it, as in the promotion of a lower figure for aggregate supply, were fully justified by robust evidence to support a local departure from national policy. Appropriate weight should be given to the various planning considerations but the weight of objections was not, in itself, a material consideration.

The Chairman invited Members to put detailed questions to the Director on the contextual and technical information that the Director had presented. In responding, the Director confirmed that much technical evidence to support the proposals in the draft Plan had been collated including the robustness of recycled aggregates estimates, traffic flow data, and updated traffic count surveys undertaken in 2010/11 which indicated no significant variance in traffic in Hamble Lane since a survey in 2008. In addition, comprehensive traffic impact studies had been commissioned for all the proposed sites and provision had been made for traffic flow data to be taken into account for any proposed sites. The impact of traffic on communities, including the protection of urban environments from movements by heavy goods vehicles (HGVs) had also been considered.

The Director also confirmed that all the proposed sites in the draft Plan had been properly assessed in accordance with Habitat Regulations and a full hydrological survey would be carried out where appropriate during the next stage of the process. Provision of safeguarding policies for current rail infrastructure and environmental controls for the mitigation of noise, odour etc from the proposed sites had also been made.

Councillor Mel Kendal, Executive Member for Environment and Transport presented the Cabinet's proposals and moved the recommendations. In presenting the proposals, the Executive Member highlighted a number of key points as follows:

- Sounding Boards had spent much time considering the quantity of gravel to be extracted and an average of sales over the last 10 years was felt to be the right measure to apply, which included periods when housebuilding levels had been both high and low
- The need to have a geographical spread of sites and diversity of suppliers for sand reserves to ensure sustainability across the Plan period. It was not for planning authorities to try to manipulate the market therefore capacity must not be confused with throughput.
- That positive discussions were continuing with Natural England in relation to their concerns over potential impact from the proposed Purple Haze site on Ebblake Bog (SSSI). The forest area of the site which adjoins Moors Valley Country Park is a commercial forest and trees will therefore be felled and replanted at a future date, whilst the

proposed restoration following mineral extraction would create a more biologically valuable heathland habitat.

- It was recognised that traffic movements to Verwood had increased in recent years; in any development suitable traffic controls would be put in place at the Purple Haze site to ameliorate traffic impacts.
- The proposed Hamble Airfield site was now effectively currently a brownfield site used by some for informal recreation purposes for example dog walkers. The site had been stripped of topsoil but would be fully restored and nature conservation uses at the end of the Plan period for enjoyment by as many people as possible.

In conclusion, the Executive Member reminded the Council that the removal of any one of the proposed sites would result in having to reject the draft Plan and go through the lengthy process undertaken in developing and consulting on options once again. This would prolong the period of uncertainty for all concerned. Approval of the draft Plan would allow for public consultation and a hearing and testing of the Plan in front of an independent Planning Inspector, who would decide if it was indeed sound.

The Chairman invited questions against each numbered paragraph of the report. The Executive Member responded to a wide range of questions including sewage discharges and water quality, further questions on the robustness of aggregate estimates, a request to revisit the inclusion of the proposed site at Basingstoke Sidings and confirmed that there would be no surcharging in the proposed extension of the site at Squabb Wood.

Councillor Keith House, Leader of the Liberal Democrat Group responded to the proposals and moved the following amendment, which was seconded by Councillor Adam Carew who reserved the right to speak later in the debate:

*“Replace all after ‘That the County Council’ with:*

- (a) *notes the significant and substantial representations from Hampshire and Dorset communities concerned at the implications of the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan as at present drafted;*
- (b) *defers consideration of the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan and calls on Cabinet to work towards a new plan that*
  - (i) *is based on a lower overall supply of newly won aggregates from land following the move towards higher levels of use of recycled aggregates*
  - (ii) *further promotes the use of recycled aggregates to*

- reduce demand of newly won aggregates from land*
- (iii) reduces the number of site extensions and new sites required*
  - (iv) takes greater account of the environmental, economic, social and transport consequences of all mineral and waste activities on Hampshire's communities and businesses*
  - (v) contains policies to further reduce the need to send waste to landfill, reduces waste imported into Hampshire, investigates sites for pyrolysis and explores strategic sites for anaerobic digestion; and*
- (c) seeks to work with Government to develop national policies on mineral use that give financial incentives to recycling of aggregates rather than depleting the stock of land-based minerals that increasingly are at sites where extraction would result in unacceptable environmental, economic and social consequences."*

There were no further amendments therefore the Chairman invited debate on the proposals, including the amendment moved. A number of Members expressed concern that less desirable sites were being considered due to the depletion of more suitable and less damaging sites. However it was recognised that prolonged extraction at the same sites was not possible or sustainable in the longer term and therefore new sites had to be identified. In so doing, the need to ensure that the right sites were allocated was very important. The Executive Member confirmed that a thorough process had been followed, including the undertaking of various environmental assessments together with comprehensive traffic impact studies for all the proposed sites. He was therefore confident that the most suitable sites available had been identified.

In summing up the debate Councillor Ken Thornber, Leader of the Council confirmed that the development of the draft Plan had followed a thorough and exhaustive process. Approval of the draft Plan by the Council would lead to a rigorous testing of it together with objections at the Public Examination. The draft Plan would be carefully scrutinised, the evidence base examined in detail; assessing environmental traffic and infrastructure problems; loss of amenity; economic, social and business factors.

The Leader emphasised the clear need to put a local planning policy framework in place now, to ensure that minerals and waste development proposals/planning applications are considered against appropriate local Hampshire considerations. The proposed National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) makes it clear that in the absence

of an up-to-date local plan, national policies will prevail including the presumption in favour of sustainable development. Members' concerns over the definition of sustainable development in this national context had been well rehearsed. He considered it imperative that a proper framework for the County be put in place.

The prospects of Hampshire being exposed to planning by appeal with the scant protection of the NPPF, as the only thing standing between planning authorities and developers' ambitions, would not be the right answer for Hampshire and it would be grossly negligent and irresponsible to place the County in such a position.

In regard to the issue of 'soundness' of the draft Plan, the Leader commented that he had listened carefully to the various comments and was of the view that he had heard no compelling argument to change the view that the Cabinet came to on this matter at their meeting on 26 September 2011. The Cabinet had concluded that the draft Plan was sound in terms of process and content. In recognising the significance of the soundness issue, the Leader further commented that the planning system provided a proper and rigorous mechanism for this to be tested and independently adjudicated by a Planning Inspector through the public examination process.

In conclusion, the Leader highlighted the need for timely action to protect Hampshire, its communities and its economy. Much time had been taken to gather evidence and testing of options, the development of a policy framework and the careful consideration of the thousands of representations received had culminated in the draft Plan before Members. The Leader therefore commended the draft Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan and the recommendations in the Report for progression to the next stage of the process.

The Amendment was put to the vote and in accordance with Standing Order 22.2, a recorded vote was requisitioned, the outcome of which was:

For the Amendment:

Councillors: Bailey, Broadhurst, Buckley, Carew, Chegwyn, Collett, Cooper, Darragh, Dickens, Gurden, Harrison, House, Hussey, Kyrle, Mason, Porter, Price, Radley, Roling, Simpson, Tennent, Thomas and Weeks.

Against the Amendment:

Councillors Beagley, Bolton, Bryant, Burgess (G), Burgess (R), Carter, Chapman, Clarke, Cowper, Davidovitz, Drew, Edgar, Ellis, Evans (K), Fairhurst, Geddes, Gibson, Glen, Heron, Hindson, Hockley, Joy, Keast, Kemp-Gee, Kendal, Kimber, Knight, Leversha, Mans, McEvoy,

McIntosh, Mutton, Pearce, Perry, Reid, Rice, Thacker, Thornber, Tucker, West (J), West (P) and Wheale.

Abstentions:

Councillors: Allgood, Frankum and McNair Scott.

For: 23  
Against: 42  
Abstain: 3

The Amendment was lost.

The Council then voted on the substantive recommendations as set out in the report and in accordance with Standing Order 22.2, a recorded vote was requisitioned, the outcome of which was:

For the substantive recommendations:

Councillors: Beagley, Bolton, Bryant, Burgess (G), Burgess (R), Carter, Chapman, Clarke, Cowper, Davidovitz, Drew, Edgar, Ellis, Evans (K), Fairhurst, Geddes, Gibson, Glen, Hindson, Hockley, Joy, Keast, Kemp-Gee, Kimber, Knight, Leversha, Mans, McEvoy, McIntosh, Mutton, Pearce, Perry, Reid, Rice, Thacker, Thornber, Tucker, West (J), West (P) and Wheale.

Against the substantive recommendations:

Councillors: Bailey, Broadhurst, Buckley, Carew, Chegwyn, Collett, Cooper, Darragh, Dickens, Frankum, Gurden, Harrison, House, Hussey, Kyrle, Mason, Porter, Price, Radley, Roling, Simpson, Tennent, Thomas and Weeks.

Abstentions:

Councillors: Allgood, Heron, Kendal and McNair Scott.

For: 40  
Against: 24  
Abstain: 4

It was noted that the Executive Member for Environment and Transport abstained from the vote on the substantive recommendations as he could not recommend himself to be consulted in accordance with delegated powers in the recommendations.

The substantive recommendations were carried.

## RESOLVED

That the County Council:

- (a) Approve the draft Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan attached to this report for publication; consultation on its soundness; and subsequent submission to the Secretary of State in accordance with Regulations 27-30 of The Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2008.
- (b) Authorise the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment, in consultation with the Executive Member for Environment and Transport, to finalise the text, plans and diagrams of the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan and supporting documents, provided that these do not materially change the direction, shape and emphasis of the Plan, and do not raise new issues.
- (c) Authorise the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment, in consultation with the Executive Member for Environment and Transport, during the pre-submission period to the Secretary of State and during the public examination itself to make minor changes to the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan to respond to consultation comments, legislative and national policy changes, provided that these do not materially change the direction, shape and emphasis of the document, and do not raise new issues.

## 243. PROPORTIONALITY AND APPOINTMENTS.

### Proportionality:

The Council considered a report from the Chief Executive (Item 6 in the Minute Book) setting out the position of the County Council's proportionality following the formation of the Community and Green Group. Members were reminded that when the Council approved the revised Constitution at its meeting on 14 July 2011, it also agreed that as a matter of good governance a review of County Council business practices and statutory requirements should be undertaken as and when new issues arose. The opportunity had therefore been taken to carry out a full review of the law by the Monitoring Officer regarding proportionality and political balance on Committees, Panels and Outside Bodies, and to also consider the practice of other Authorities in this regard. The resulting Proportionality Table was presented to the Council for approval.

## RESOLVED:

That the County Council approve the Proportionality Table, as circulated. It was noted that Councillors Alan Weeks and Jenny Radley did not agree the Table. For completeness the Table is

attached to these Minutes as Appendix 3.

Appointments:

The Leader confirmed that appointments to the newly formed South East Employers Local Democracy and Accountability Network would be deferred whilst the Council considers its membership of this outside body.

The Leader proposed Councillor Ferris Cowper to be appointed to the following County Council Committees and Panels to fill Conservative Group vacancies:

- Education Advisory Panel
- Regulatory Committee B
- Standing Deputy on Regulatory Committee A

Further to the approval of the Proportionality Table, the following nominations were proposed to fill Liberal Democrat Group vacancies on the following other proportionate bodies:

- Councillor Dash – New Forest National Park Authority
- Councillor Mark Cooper – Hampshire Fire and Rescue Authority

**RESOLVED**

- a) That Councillor Ferris Cowper be appointed to the Education Advisory Panel, Regulatory Committee B and as a Standing Deputy on Regulatory Committee A.
- b) That Councillor Brian Dash be appointed as a County Council representative on the New Forest National Park Authority.
- c) That Councillor Mark Cooper be approved as a County Council representative on the Hampshire Fire and Rescue Authority.

**APPENDIX 1**

**EXTRORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING**

**13 OCTOBER 2011**

**ITEM 2 – DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST REGARDING THE DRAFT HAMPSHIRE MINERALS & WASTE PLAN**

<b>NAME COUNCILLOR</b>	<b>PERSONAL / PERSONAL PREJUDICIAL</b>	<b>NATURE OF INTEREST</b>
Allgood		None.
Bailey	Personal	Help and advice given to a Group campaigning against a Minerals and Waste site and is a member of the River Hamble Harbour Management Committee.
Beagley		None.
Bolton		None.
Broadhurst	Personal	Member of Eastleigh Borough Council and the River Hamble Harbour Management Committee.
Bryant	Personal	Member of Fareham Borough Council.
Buckley		None.
Burgess, G	Personal	Member of River Hamble Harbour Management Committee.
Burgess, R	Personal	Member of Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council.
Carew	Personal	Member of: East Hampshire District Council Whitehill and Bordon Eco Town Delivery Board Mayor of Whitehill Town Council
Carter		None.
Chadd (Not present)		
Chapman	Personal	Member of Basingstoke & Deane Borough Council
Chegwyn	Personal	Member of Gosport Borough Council.

<b>NAME COUNCILLOR</b>	<b>PERSONAL / PERSONAL PREJUDICIAL</b>	<b>NATURE OF INTEREST</b>
Clarke		None.
Collett	Personal	Member of: Hart District Council Yateley Town Council Chairman of Blackwater and Hawley Town Council
Collin	Personal	Member of Winchester City Council.
Cooper	Personal	Member of Test Valley Borough Council and Romsey Town Council. An acquaintance lives in the near vicinity of one of the proposed sites in the Draft Plan.
Cowper	Personal	Member of East Hampshire District Council.
Darragh		None.
Dash	Personal	Member of Dibden Hythe Town Council.
Davidovitz	Personal	Member of the River Hamble Harbour Management Committee.
Dickens	Personal	Family members live in West Moors and may visit the Moors Valley Country Park.
Dowden (Not present)		
Drew		None.
Edgar		None.
Ellis	Personal	Member of River Hamble Harbour Management Committee.
Evans, A (Not present)		
Evans, K		None.
Fairhurst		None.
Frankum	Personal	Member of Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council and one of the proposed sites is in Cllr Frankum's Electoral Division.

<b>NAME COUNCILLOR</b>	<b>PERSONAL / PERSONAL PREJUDICIAL</b>	<b>NATURE OF INTEREST</b>
Geddes		None.
Gibson	Personal	Michelmars Clayworks is located in Cllr Gibson's Electoral Division.
Glen	Personal	Member of Hart District Council and as an Independent Financial Adviser has an interest in Persimmon Homes.
Gurden	Personal	Member of Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council.
Harrison	Personal	Member of New Forest District Council and New Forest National Park Authority
Heron	Personal	Member of: New Forest District Council New Forest National Park Authority Project Integra
Hindson		None.
Hockley		None.
House	Personal	Member of: Eastleigh Borough Council Bursledon Parish Council Hedge End Town Council River Hamble Harbour Board Uses Country Parks that are in the vicinity of proposed sites in the Draft Plan.
Hussey		None.
Joy	Personal	Member of East Hampshire District Council.
Keast		None.
Kemp-Gee	Personal	Member of South Downs National Park Authority.
Kendal	Personal	Member of: New Forest District Council Whitehill and Bordon Eco Town Delivery Board Project Integra Board
Kimber		None.
Knight		None.

<b>NAME COUNCILLOR</b>	<b>PERSONAL / PERSONAL PREJUDICIAL</b>	<b>NATURE OF INTEREST</b>
Kyrle	Personal	Member of: Eastleigh Borough Council Botley Parish Council Hedge End Town Council
Leversha	Personal	None.
Mans	Personal	Member of New Forest National Park Authority and one of the proposed sites is in Cllr Mans Electoral Division.
Mason	Personal	Member of Winchester City Council.
McEvoy		None.
McIntosh		None.
McNair Scott		None.
Mutton		None.
Neal (Not present)		
Pearce		None.
Perry	Personal	Chairman of Viridor Landfill Tax Credits Local Panel for Squabb Wood.
Porter	Personal	One of the proposed sites is in Cllr Porter's Electoral Division.
Price	Personal	Member of Fareham Borough Council.
Radley	Personal	Member of Hart District Council.
Reid	Personal	Member of Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council and Chairman of that Council's Planning & Infrastructure Overview & Scrutiny Committee.
Rice	Personal	Member of: New Forest District Council River Hamble Harbour Management Committee
Rippon-Swaine (Not present)		
Roling	Personal	Member of Eastleigh Borough Council

<b>NAME COUNCILLOR</b>	<b>PERSONAL / PERSONAL PREJUDICIAL</b>	<b>NATURE OF INTEREST</b>
Simpson	Personal	Councillor Todd who made a deputation is Chairman of Eversley Parish Council which is within Cllr Simpson's Electoral Division.
Still (Not present)		
Tennent	Personal	Member of: Eastleigh Borough Council West End Parish Council Hedge End Town Council
Thacker		None.
Thomas	Personal	Member of Eastleigh Borough Council.
Thornber	Personal	Visited Purple Haze site and met Cllr Flower, Leader of East Dorset District Council at the site.
Tucker	Personal	Member of Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council.
Wall (Not present)		
Weeks	Personal	Member of New Forest National Park Authority and undertakes occasional part time work for a company in Hamble.
West, J	Personal	Member of East Hampshire District Council
West, P		None.
Wheale	Personal	Member of Hart District Council
Woodward (Not present)		

**EXTRAORDINARY COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING - 13  
OCTOBER 2011**

**ITEM 4 – DEPUTATIONS LISTED BY SITE AND  
ALPHABETICAL NAME ORDER  
(UPDATED)**

**Each deputation allowed 5 minutes in total to speak**

NO.	NAME	SUBJECT OF DEPUTATION
<b>(A) Purple Haze/Blue Haze</b>		
1.	Councillor Spencer Flower – East Dorset District Council	Blue Haze & Purple Haze
2.	Cyril Hughes - private resident	Blue Haze & Purple Haze
3.	Sarah Sumner - private resident	AVR/No 2 Blue Haze and Purple Haze Campaign
4.	Ray Wells - private resident	Planned development at Purple Haze and expansion of Blue Haze
<b>(B) Hamble Air Field Site</b>		
5.	Charlie Bloom - private resident	Gravel and Sharp Sand Extraction at Hamble airfield – disruption to schools, families & roads caused by machinery noise, increased lorry movements to/from Hamble Airfield and effect on Hamble Lane etc and access to Blackthorn Medical Centre
6.	Heather Broadbent – on behalf of the Marine Leisure Industry (is also resident, yacht owner & committee member of British Marine Federation)	Socio-Economic impact of the proposed Minerals and Waste Plan on Hamble Peninsula and the associated marine leisure industries
7.	Councillor Tonia Craig – representing Eastleigh Borough Council and also Chair of the Local Area Committee for Bursledon, Hamble and Hound	Hampshire Minerals & Waste Plan

	<b>(B) Hamble Air Field Site contd</b>	
8.	Kate Cullen - private resident Councillor Craig was accompanied by a Year 11 pupil at Hamble Community Sports College who also spoke briefly.	Hamble
9.	Mark Denton - Steering Group against Gravel Extraction - Hamble RAGE, Residents, Business and Hound, Netley & Hamble Parish Council's	Hamble airfield
10.	Elizabeth Burt - from Savills on behalf of Marina Developments Ltd	Hamble Airfield
11.	Councillor Ian Underdown – representing Hamble-le-Rice Parish Council	Hamble
	<b>(C) Michelmersh Brickworks</b>	
12.	Stephen Bowley - Michelmersh Brick Holdings plc	Clay extraction at Michelmersh Brickworks
13.	Councillor John Rhodes – representing Michelmersh & Timsbury Parish Council	Michelmersh Brickworks
	<b>(D) Roeshot</b>	
14.	Councillor Jamieson – Dorset County Council/Christchurch Council - speaking on behalf of Christchurch Residents	Roeshot
15.	DK Symes - DK Symes Associates representing a landowner, Meyrick Estate and Dudman Group Limited	Support for identification of Roeshot as a sand and gravel allocation
	<b>(E) Individual Sites</b>	
16.	Patrick Benham-Croswell - local resident and Managing Director of Selborne Brickworks	Clay extraction at Selborne Brickworks.
	<del>Simon Chaffe – for Matthews &amp; Son LLP on behalf of Mr. Zandona</del> <b>WITHDRAWN</b>	<del>Busta Farm</del>
	<del>Andy Partridge – on behalf of Frobisher</del> <b>WITHDRAWN</b>	<del>Little Park Farm</del>
	<b>(F) Overall Plan, Multiple Sites and/or Policies</b>	
17.	Councillor Patrick Burridge – representing East Hampshire District Council	Hampshire Minerals & Waste Plan concerning Whitehill and Bordon

<b>(F) Overall Plan, Multiple Sites and/or Policies contd</b>		
18.	Steven Cole – Environmental Development Manager, Raymond Brown Minerals & Recycling Ltd	Rejection of Stanbridge Ranvilles Farm site and inadequate provision of minerals; shortfall in landbank against apportionment without being evidence-based.
19.	Councillor Wendy Doherty – representing Otterbourne Parish Council	Hampshire Minerals & Waste Plan
20.	Councillor Philip Todd – representing Eversley Parish Council	Hampshire Minerals & Waste Plan

**COUNTY COUNCIL PROPORTIONALITY TABLE**  
**13 OCTOBER 2011**  
**(APPROVED)**

		Conservative (51)	Liberal Democrat (24)	Community & Green (2)	Labour (1)
<b>Select Committees</b>					
Policy and Resources	(12)	8	4	0	0
Children and Young People	(20)	13	6	1	0
Environment and Transportation	(12)	8	3	1	0
Culture and Communities	(13)	8	4	1	0
Safe and Healthy People	(13)	8	4	0	1
Health Overview and Scrutiny	(16)	10	5	1	0
<b>Other Committees/Panels</b>					
Regulatory Committee A	(15)	10	5	0	0
Regulatory Committee B	(20)	13	6	1	0
Employment in Hampshire County		6	3	0	0
Council Committee	(9)				
Audit Committee	(9)	6	2	0	1
Pension Fund Panel	(9)	6	3	0	0
<b>Advisory Committees/Panels</b>					
Buildings Land & Procurement Panel		4	2	0	0
	(6)				
Education Advisory Panel	(9)	6	3	0	0
Children and Families Adv Panel	(9)	6	3	0	0
SACRE	(5)	3	2	0	0
<b>River Hamble</b>					
River Hamble Harbour Board	(3)	2	1	0	0
River Hamble Management Comm	(10)	7	3	0	0
<b>Number of seats 190</b>		<b>124</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Other Proportionate Bodies (32 seats)</b>					
New Forest National Park Authority	(5)	3	2	0	0
Chichester Harbour Board	(4)	3	1	0	0
Hampshire Fire and Rescue Authority	(19)	12	6	0	1
Joint Committee Police Authority Appts	(4)	3	1	0	0
<b>Total number of seats: 222 (190 + 32)</b>		<b>145</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>
Mathematical entitlement		145.15	68.31	5.69	2.85
		(=)	(+1)	(-1)	(=)
Mathematical entitlement:					
NB: Below .50 round down					
.50 and above round up					

## ADDENDUM

In circumstances where the membership of the County Council includes Members who are not part of a political group the provision of Regulation 16 of the Local Government (Committees and Political Groups) Regulations 1990 come into effect.

Regulation 16 amends Section 15 (3) of the Local Government and Housing Act (“the Act”) to provide that the County Council should

- i) establish what percentage of the total County Council membership is represented by the three political groups within the County Council
- ii) allocate the corresponding percentage of the total number of seats to Members of these groups.

The duty to allocate any unfilled seats is subject of the duty contained within Section 16 (2A) of the Act. In this case Section 16 (2A) of the Act requires that the seats that have not been allocated under Section 15 (3) should be filled by Members who are not Members of a political group.

The unallocated seats should therefore be allocated to Members of the County Council who are not Members of one of the political groups. The specific seats to be allowed to these Members is within the discretion of the County Council but the seats should be allocated between the unaffiliated Members.

The County Council’s practice is that the Leader’s of both political Groups allocate their seats and the unaffiliated Members are considered and allocated seats as part of that process.