

## HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

### Executive Decision Record

|                        |  |
|------------------------|--|
| <b>Decision Maker:</b> | Executive Member for Policy and Resources  |
| <b>Date:</b>           | 24 January 2013  |
| <b>Title:</b>          | Energy Performance Programme   |
| <b>Reference:</b>      | 4424   |
| <b>Report From:</b>    | Director of Economy, Transport and Environment<br>Director of Culture, Communities and Business Services |

**Contact name:** Alan Williams & Steve Clow

**Tel:** 01962 846827 /  
01962 847858

**Email:** [alan.williams@hants.gov.uk](mailto:alan.williams@hants.gov.uk) /  
[steve.clow@hants.gov.uk](mailto:steve.clow@hants.gov.uk)

#### 1. The decision:

- a) That agreement in principle be given to an Energy Performance Programme. This supports the County Council's Energy Strategy considered at Cabinet on 29 October 2012.
- b) That the Energy Performance Programme be delivered in partnership with an appropriate private sector partner through a joint venture or some similar mechanism (option B2), and that options A (Energy Performance Contract) and B1 (solely in-house) be rejected.
- c) That work begins on exploring the options for delivering the energy performance programme with potential private sector partners.

#### 2. Reason(s) for the decision:

- 2.1. An Energy Performance Programme will reduce energy consumption for the County Council. This will reduce energy bills and save the County Council money. It will also reduce the County Council's requirement for grid energy.

#### 3. Other options considered and rejected:

- 3.1. Delivering the Energy Performance Programme through an Energy Performance Contract as this would considerably restrict the savings that the County Council would obtain, as well as reducing the County Council's control over both the programme and its property.
- 3.2. Delivering the Energy Performance Programme using solely in-house resources, as these are unlikely to be sufficient.

3.3. Not delivering an Energy Performance Programme and continuing with the current approach, as this would not deliver the same scale of savings.

**4. Conflicts of interest:**

4.1. Conflicts of interest declared by the decision-maker:

4.2. Conflicts of interest declared by other Executive Members consulted:

**5. Dispensation granted by the Conduct Advisory Panel: none.**

**6. Reason(s) for the matter being dealt with if urgent: not applicable.**

**7. Statement from the Decision Maker:**

**Approved by:**

**Date:**

-----

**24 January 2013**

**Executive Member for Policy and Resources  
Councillor T K Thornber, CBE**