

SCOPING ISSUES AND RESPONSES

CONTENTS

Introduction 1



SCOPING ISSUES AND RESPONSES

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This document sets out the key scoping issues emerging from the Statutory Consultee responses received during the scoping exercise which was carried out prior to the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) being commenced. The document also guides the reader to where each scoping issue has been addressed within the Environmental Statement (ES) and provides a brief commentary on how the issue will be tackled.
- 1.2 Following the submission of a Scoping Report to Hampshire County Council (HCC) received March 13th 2012 a Scoping Opinion was subsequently issued by HCC on 30th April 2012 which set out the consultation responses, regarding the development proposals by Clean Power Properties Ltd and Network Rail Infrastructure Limited for proposed Advanced Conversion Technology and Anaerobic Digestion Facility at land adjacent to Micheldever Railway Sidings.
- 1.3 The table below sets out the key scoping issues emerging, where these issues have been addressed within the ES and where necessary commentary provided.

**Table 1-1
Summary of Scoping Issues and ES Ref**

Consultee Scoping Comments	Key Issue	ES Ref
Hampshire County Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consideration of the Development Plan as per policies suggested. • Consideration needs to be given to the presumption in favour of sustainable development and the Core Planning Principles 	ES Chapter 4 (Volume 2a) Planning Policy Consideration
Councillor Porter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to address noise, dust and smell including impacts from lorries • Concern at use of Overton Road in terms of Highway Safety • Need for both plants should be demonstrated • Consider alternative use of gas to benefit local community 	ES Chapter 8 (Volume 2a) Noise ES Chapter 7 (Volume 2a) Air Quality ES Chapter 6 (Volume 2a) Traffic ES Chapter 5 (Volume 2a) Alternatives

SCOPING ISSUES AND RESPONSES

Micheldever Parish Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Receptors should include local businesses and rail users • Impact on setting for listed railway station • Mitigation for SINC designation • Evidence of brownfield use needs to be demonstrated 	<p>ES Chapter 13 (Volume 2a) Cultural Heritage</p> <p>ES Chapter 12 (Volume 2a) Ecology</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No assessment included for impact on tourism • Concern about lighting and low frequency noise • Need to consider alternatives 	<p>Planning Supporting Statement (Volume 1)</p> <p>ES Chapter 5 (Volume 2a) Alternatives</p>
Winchester City Council (planning and environmental health)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comments received 	N/A
Highways Agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comments received 	N/A
Environment Agency	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commented proposal may require an Environmental Permit 	Environmental Permit will be submitted separately
Natural England	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consideration should be given to Micheldever Spoil heaps SSSI 	ES Chapter 12 (Volume 2a) Ecology and ES Technical Appendix 12 (Volume 3)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consideration should be given to Micheldever Oil Terminal Local Wildlife Sites 	ES Chapter 12 (Volume 2a) Ecology and ES Technical Appendix 12 (Volume 2b)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surveys for protected species 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Habitat survey to identify any important habitats 	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opportunities taken to incorporate features in the design beneficial to wildlife 	

SCOPING ISSUES AND RESPONSES

<p>Highway Authority</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Generally content with scope of transport assessment proposed • Not clear about layout connection with existing highways and consequently extent of work outside the site. 	<p>ES Chapter 6 (Volume 2a) Traffic</p>
<p>Ecology (HCC)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecological surveys should include all areas affected not just within application site 	<p>ES Chapter 12 (Volume 2a) Ecology and ES Technical Appendix 12 (Volume 2b)</p>
<p>Archaeology (HCC)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hampshire HER should be consulted as it has fuller coverage of search area 	<p>ES Chapter 13 (Volume 2a) Cultural Heritage</p>
<p>Hampshire County Council – Development Control</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Technical appendices should include noise data and landscape, visual and design assessment • Significant uncertainty about the proposals regarding the extent of engineering works required to implement the proposals which need to be fully addressed in the EIA • Topographical discrepancies • Gradients for the access to Overton Road and A303 slip roads 	<p>ES Appendices (Volume 2b) TA6-TA13</p> <p>ES Chapter 10 (Volume 2a) and Technical Appendix 10 (Volume 2b)</p> <p>Drawings Volume 1</p> <p>ES Chapter 6 (Volume 2a) Traffic</p>