

Questions and Answers

Q1. Please can the colour of the proposed perimeter fencing be confirmed.

A The proposed fencing will be black.

Q2. Please can the site area be confirmed, within the application form and Flood Risk Assessment it is stated as being 1.7ha however within the supporting statement it states 1.62ha. I believe the actual area to be developed is 0.56ha, please confirm.

A The area within the security fence is 5553m²
The area within the redline drawing is 16982m², so 1.7ha. (It was t
weaked when looking at the entrance to the site I believe)

Q3. It would be useful to know how frequently the service vehicles arrive and depart from the site (maybe this will be picked up with the detailed highway information which is to be submitted?)

A The estimated service vehicles movement are (winter) 3 - 4 per day and (summer) 4 - 5 per day. This assumes that there will be an increase in tonnage generally due to it being a larger site but that compaction could be introduced to increase bin weights and reduce servicing vehicle movements.

Q4. The plan referred to the in Road Safety Audit Stage 1 is stated as R.J509002/001RevD (no copy attached to report) and a copy of this plan ahs not been submitted with the planning application plans.

A R.J509002/001RevD has been attached.

Q5. When is the FINAL EclA being submitted? I am unsure at present whether the mitigation measures proposed within the INTERIM EclA have been taken into consideration - i.e. are log piles to be proposed, if so where? Are nest boxes for dormouse proposed, if so where? Is a dormouse bridge proposed across the access? Are bat and bird boxes proposed, and if so where are they to be located? In addition to this, the INTERIM EclA refers to PPS9 as it was completed prior to the release of the NPPF. This should be updated for the FINAL document.

A Final EclA send under separate email – a copy has been send direct to Eastleigh for their register.

6. I was under the impression following one of the pre-application meetings that a tree assessment had been carried out to establish the state of the trees to be removed. I have not been able to find any

reference to the tree assessment within the submitted documents.
Please can this information be submitted.

A [Tree assessment to follow](#)

Q7. Plan S4 CF05 - 101 RevA shows new planting running across the gates which will provide access to the mast from the HWRC site and it shows mounding across the site. Plan S4 CF05-102 shows a gap in the proposed planting and it shows mounding areas and possible mounding areas, please can the relevant plan(s) be amended to ensure there is consistency between the proposed landscaping proposals.

A [Revised plans to follow](#)